IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC.,)
Plaintiff,))) C. A. No. 05-486 (GMS)
v.) C. A. No. 05-480 (GMS)
) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
THE TORO COMPANY,)
Defendant.)
Detellualle.	<i>)</i>

DECLARATION OF DAVID E. MOORE IN SUPPORT OF THE TORO COMPANY'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO STAY LITIGATION PENDING DETERMINATION OF ITS REQUESTS FOR REEXAMINATION BY THE U.S. PATENT OFFICE AND FOR EXPEDITED TREATMENT

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246) David E. Moore (#3983)

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

Hercules Plaza 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street

P.O. Box 951

Wilmington, DE 19899 Tel: (302) 984-6000

rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant The Toro Company

OF COUNSEL:

Earl D. Reiland Anthony R. Zeuli Thomas J. Leach MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. 3200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 (612) 332-5300

Dated: March 23, 2007

- I, David E. Moore, declare as follows:
- 1. My name is David E. Moore. I am an associate with the law firm of Potter Anderson & Corroon L.L.P., which represents Defendant the Toro Company, ("Toro") in the above-captioned matter.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a three-page document from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office which lists Inter Partes Reexamination Filing Data - December 31, 2006 and Ex Parte Reexamination Filing Data - December 31, 2006.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of Gioello Enters. Ltd. v. Mattel, Inc., C.A. No. 99-375-GMS, 2001 WL 125340, at *1 (D. Del. Jan. 29, 2001).
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of *Emhart Indus. v.* Sankyo Seiki Mfg., 3 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1889, 1890 (N.D. Ill. 1987).
- 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of *Pegasus Dev. Corp. v.* DirectTV, Inc., C.A. No. 00-1020-GMS, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8052, at *3 (D. Del. May 14, 2003).
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of Magna Donnelly Corp. v. Pilkington North America, Inc., 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17536, at *2 (W.D. Mich. Mar. 12, 2007).
- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of Gonnocci v. Three M Tool & Machine, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24423, at *3, 18 (E.D. Mich. Oct. 7, 2003).

- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of Rohm & Haas v. Brotech Corp., C.A. No. 90-109-SLR, 1992 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3252, at *4 (D. Del. Mar. 11, 1992).
- 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of Middleton, Inc. v. Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16812, *16-17 (S.D. Iowa Aug. 24, 2004).
- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of Declaration of Richard D. Bednar, JA-0152.
- 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of *Abbott Diabetes Care* Inc. v. Dexcom, Inc., C.A. No. 05-590-GMS, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57469, *20 (D. Del. Aug. 16, 2006).
- Attached hereto as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of Softview Computer 12. Prods. Corp. v. Haworth, Inc., 2000 U.S. Dist LEXIS 4254, at *3 (S.D. N.Y. 2000)
- Attached hereto as Exhibit L is a true and correct copy of a document entitled 13. "Teleflex v. KSR: The TSM Obviousness Test Argued At The Supreme Court -Is It Really "Gobbledygook?"
- Attached hereto as Exhibit M is a true and correct copy of a document from the 14. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office entitled "USPTO Improves Process For Reviewing Patents."
- 15. Attached hereto as Exhibit N is a true and correct copy of Broadcast Innovation, L.L.C., v. Charter Communications, Inc., 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 46623, at *26-30 (D. Colo. July 11, 2006).

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 23rd day of March, 2007.

/s/ David E. Moore
David E. Moore

785377 / 22036-002

Filed 03/23/2007

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David E. Moore, hereby certify that on March 23, 2007, the attached document was electronically mailed and hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification to the registered attorney(s) of record that the document has been filed and is available for viewing and downloading:

Edmond D. Johnson
Thomas H. Kovach
Pepper Hamilton LLP
Hercules Plaza, Suite 5100
1313 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899-1709
Johnsone@pepperlaw.com
kovacht@pepperlaw.com

I hereby certify that on March 23, 2007, I have Electronically Mailed and Federal Expressed the documents to the following:

Christopher C. Campbell
Hunton & Williams LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006-1109
srobertson@hunton.com
ccampbell@hunton.com
mlouey@hunton.com
lmarlatt@hunton.com
fmckeon@hunton.com
dmckim@hunton.com
jalbert@hunton.com
rking@hunton.com

David Young
Hunton & Williams LLP
1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 1700
McLean, Virginia 22102
mpphelps@hunton.com
dyoung@hunton.com

Kurt Niederluecke Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 200 South Sixth Street **Suite 4000** Minneapolis, MN 55402 kniederluecke@fredlaw.com

By: /s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz David E. Moore Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0951 (302) 984-6000 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com